

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/536,941	11/10/2005	Gerard Bradley	1022702-000282	8313	
21839 7590 06/10/2010 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, JAISON P		
ALEXANDRI	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1796			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/10/2010	FLECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/536,941 BRADLEY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jaison P. Thomas 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 May 2010. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 16-23.27.28 and 30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-23,27,28 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/536,941 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/17/2010 has been entered.
- Claims 16-23, 27,28 and 30 are pending. Claim 23 is amended. Claim 30 is new.
- 3. The rejections of Claims 16-23, 27 and 28 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Miyoshi et al. (US Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0134963) are withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-23,27 and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/536,941

Art Unit: 1796

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As summarized in the Advisory Action dated 5/3/2010, the negative limitation excluding "polyphenylene ether" does not have basis in the originally filed disclosure and the Examiner notes MPEP 2173.05(i) which states "Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. If alternative elements are positively recited in the specification, they may be explicitly excluded in the claims. See In re Johnson, 558 F.2d 1008, 1019, 194 USPQ 187, 196 (CCPA 1977)."

For purposes of examination, the claim will be examined as written in so far as it is supported in the Specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,941
Art Unit: 1796

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 16-23,27,28 and 30 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyoshi et al. in view of Elkovitch et al. (US Patent App. Pub. No. 20050070657).

Miyoshi is relied upon as summarized in the Office Action dated 10/3/2008, pgs. 4-5, however does not teach a composition which is free of polyphenylene ether.

Elkovitch et al. teaches conductive plastics blends which are suitable for electrostatic painting applications (pg. 15, para. 0141) wherein common plastics blends used are listed on pg. 2, paras. 0013-0014 including polyphenylene ether/polyamide and acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene/polyamide blends (pg. 2, para. 0014).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the blends

Art Unit: 1796

containing polyphenylene ether with any of the blends taught in the Elkovitch reference including the ABS/polyamide blend as substitution of art-recognized equivalents is within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

- 9. The Examiner has noted the addition of Claim 30 drawn weight percentages of conductive filler in the overall composition, however, in so far as the 5 % endpoint reads upon the range disclosed in the Miyoshi et al. reference, the Examiner concludes that said endpoint would be obvious in view of teachings of Miyoshi et al. The Examiner has considered the comparative data in the Specification, however, notes that the data does not show the adverse effects, if any, of the presence of the polyphenylene ether as disclosed in the Miyoshi et al. reference thus the Examiner cannot compare the prior art with the instant invention.
- 10. The Examiner suggests amending the transitional phrase in line 2 of Claim 23 from "comprising" to --consisting of-- in order to exclude the presence of the polyphenylene ether as required by Miyoshi et al. and would render the claims as allowable.

Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. P. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 /Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796